arXiv:0808.3762v2 [math.GR] 27 Oct 2008

THE ISOCOHOMOLOGICAL PROPERTY, HIGHER DEHN FUNCTIONS, AND RELATIVELY HYPERBOLIC GROUPS

RONGHUI JI AND BOBBY RAMSEY

Abstract. The property that the polynomial cohomology with coefficients of a finitely generated discrete group is canonically isomorphic to the group cohomology is called the (weak) isocohomological property for the group. In the case when a group is of type HF^∞, i.e. that has a classifying space with the homotopy type of a polyhedral complex with finitely many cells in each dimension, we show that the isocohomological property is geometric and is equivalent to the property that the universal cover of the classifying space has polynomially bounded higher Dehn functions. If a group is hyperbolic relative to a collection of subgroups, each of which is polynomially combable, respectively HF^∞ and isocohomological, then we show that the group itself has these respective properties. Combining with the results of Connes-Moscovici and Druţu-Sapir we conclude that a group satisfies the strong Novikov conjecture if it is hyperbolic relative to subgroups which are of property RD, of type HF^∞ and isocohomological.

1. Introduction

Given a finitely presented group G with classifying space BG, which is a $K(G,1)$ space, and a compact oriented smooth manifold M, together with a continuous map $\varphi : M \longrightarrow BG$, the higher signatures of the pair (M, φ) are defined to be $(L(M) \cdot \varphi^*(\xi), [M])$, where ξ is any class in $H^*(BG, Q)$ and $L(M)$ denotes the total Hirzebruch L-class of M. The Novikov conjecture [36] states that the higher signatures defined above are homotopy invariants of the pair (M, φ). The validity of this conjecture has been established, for many classes of groups by variety of techniques (see [21, 14, 45]). Using Kasparov's KK-theory [28], the homotopy invariance of the higher signatures is a consequence

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20F65; Secondary 58B34 .

Key words and phrases. polynomial cohomology, higher Dehn functions, relatively hyperbolic groups, Novikov conjecture.

of the rational injectivity of the K-theoretical assembly map from K-homology of the classifying space BG to the K-theory of the reduced group C^*-algebra $C_r^*(G)$. The conjecture that this assembly map is always rationally injective is called the strong Novikov conjecture [3].

The early work of Connes and Moscovici [8] shows that if a finitely generated discrete group G satisfies the following two conditions then G satisfies the strong Novikov conjecture.

(1) G has the Rapid-Decay property of Jolissaint [26].

(2) The polynomial cohomology $HP^*(G;\mathbb{C})$ is surjective to $H^*(G;\mathbb{C})$ for the homomorphism induced by the inclusion.

Here $HP^*(G;\mathbb{C})$ is the polynomial growth cohomology of the group G. This cohomology theory was proposed by Connes and Moscovici in [8] and formalized in [23]. Specifically, let $|g|$ denote the word length of an element g in the group G, with respect to some fixed finite generating set. An n-cochain c on G is of polynomial growth if for all elements g_0, g_1, ..., g_n in G, $|c(g_0, g_1, ..., g_n)| \leq P(|g_0| + |g_1| + \cdots + |g_n|)$ for some polynomial P depending only on c. The collection of polynomial growth cochains forms a sub-cochain complex of the usual group cochain complex with complex coefficients. The cohomology of this subcomplex is called the polynomial cohomology of G with coefficients $\mathbb{C}$. The inclusion of the subcomplex into the full complex induces the comparison homomorphism $HP^*(G;\mathbb{C}) \to H^*(G;\mathbb{C})$. More generally, one can define polynomial cohomology with coefficients in the category of Fréchet spaces [23] as follows. Let $S_1(G) = \{f : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} | \sum_{g\in G} |f(g)|(1+|g|)^k < \infty, k > 0\}$. $S_1(G)$ is clearly a topological algebra [27] with the natural Fréchet topology given by the seminorms $\|f\|_k = \sum_{g\in G} |f(g)|(1 + |g|)^k$. Let V be any Fréchet space upon which $S_1(G)$ acts continuously. The polynomial cohomology $HP^*(G;V)$ of G with coefficients in V is defined to be $\mathrm{Ext}^*_{S_1(G)}(\mathbb{C}, V)$ in the category of continuous $S_1(G)$-modules. Note that in this category, a projective resolution of $\mathbb{C}$ over $S_1(G)$ must be endowed with a continuous $\mathbb{C}$-linear splitting. Since word hyperbolic groups satisfy both conditions [18, 20], Connes and Moscovici conclude that word hyperbolic groups satisfy the Novikov conjecture. Until recently [24, 31, 37, 42] there has been little progress in verifying the Novikov conjecture using this method. The main difficulty is the verification of condition (2). For condition (1) there has been much progress made in a variety of cases [6, 7, 11, 30, 41].

A finitely generated group G has the *weak isocohomological property* if for every coefficient module V, the comparison homomorphism $HP^*(G;V) \to$

$H^*(G;V)$ is an isomorphism. The term 'isocohomological' is taken from Meyer [32], where it describes a homomorphism between two bornological algebras. What is meant here by 'G has the weak isocohomological property', is a weakened version of what Meyer refers to as 'the embedding $\mathbb{C}[G] \to S_1(G)$ is isocohomological'. Hereafter we drop the 'weak' adjective, and refer to this as the isocohomological property of the finitely generated group G.

The first breakthrough in this subject was by Meyer [31] and Ogle [37] who independently proved that any polynomially combable group has the isocohomological property. Not only so, Ogle [37] proves that if G is of type HF^∞, (i.e. that G has a classifying space with the homotopy type of a polyhedral complex with finitely many cells in each dimension) and satisfies polynomial growth for his version of higher Dehn functions, then G is isocohomological. Ogle's higher Dehn functions are defined to be any contracting homotopy of the topological projective resolution of $\mathbb{C}$ over $S_1(G)$ obtained from the universal cover of a classifying space that has finite many cells in each dimension. As such the relationship between Ogle's higher Dehn functions and the usual higher Dehn functions, as first studied in [2], is not clear. We remark that a polyhedral complex is an analogue of a simplicial complex, without the rigidity that for each dimension n, every n-cell has a fixed number of faces [1].

In this paper we introduce the concept of weighted fillings for n-boundaries over G and define the higher weighted Dehn functions for an HF^∞ group G by using these weighted fillings. Our version is equivalent to Ogle's in the sense that when our weighted Dehn functions are of polynomial growth, so are Ogle's complex admits polynomially bounded higher Dehn functions, and vice-versa. We also show that the weighted higher Dehn functions having polynomial growth is equivalent to the usual higher Dehn functions, as studied by Gersten [15], having polynomial growth. We further prove that for HF^∞ groups, the isocohomological property is equivalent to the usual higher Dehn functions of the group having polynomial growth. One of the key ingredients in the proof is a technique used by Mineyev [34], in which he proved that a group is word hyperbolic if and only if the degree two bounded cohomology with coefficients for the group is surjective onto the usual group cohomology under the map induced by the inclusion. Since the Dehn functions are equivalent for quasiisometric groups, one asks whether the isocohomological property is preserved for quasiisometric groups. We show that this is indeed the case among HF^∞ groups. As a consequence all groups of polynomial growth have polynomially bounded higher Dehn functions. For a finitely generated nilpotent group it is known that the first Dehn function is polynomially bounded [16]. The details are given in section 2. We note that another class of HF^∞ groups that have all higher Dehn functions of polynomial growth is the class of groups that possess a polynomial combing [15].

Recently Osin [38] and Dadarlat-Guentner [9], respectively, proved that if a group is relatively hyperbolic with respect to subgroups that have finite asymptotic dimensions, respectively are coarsely embeddable in Hilbert spaces, then the group itself has finite asymptotic dimension, respectively is coarsely embeddable in a Hilbert space. These two results imply the validity of the Novikov conjecture for both classes of groups via the method of coarse geometry [44, 45]. One asks whether or not Connes-Moscovici's method also applies to relatively hyperbolic groups. For this purpose we first construct a polynomial combing for groups that are relatively hyperbolic to subgroups which are polynomially combable. Thus, after observing the work of Druţu-Sapir [11] which states that a group relatively hyperbolic to subgroups of property RD itself has property RD, Connes-Moscovici's method does work for this class of relatively hyperbolic groups. We remark that by 'relatively hyperbolic' we mean relatively hyperbolic with the bounded coset penetration property [13]. The above quoted theorem of Druţu-Sapir was discovered by Chatterji-Ruane in the case that the subgroups are of polynomial growth [7].

Since polynomially combable groups are HF^∞ groups and have all higher Dehn functions of polynomial growth, it is desirable to extend the results in section 3 to the larger class of groups which contains all HF^∞ groups of isocohomological property. By using the method of the combing constructions in section 3, we prove that if a group is relatively hyperbolic to subgroups that are of type HF^∞ and are isocohomological, then the group itself is of type HF^∞ and is isocohomological. This is done by constructing a classifying space for the group of HF^∞-type and by estimating the growth of the higher Dehn functions of the group in terms of those of the subgroups. This will be done in the final section. Again by the result of Druţu-Sapir [11], we conclude that when the subgroups are of property RD, of type HF^∞ and isocohomological, then the group satisfies the strong Novikov conjecture.

Finally, we would like to thank Crighton Ogle, Mark Sapir and Jonathan Rosenberg for some helpful comments and discussions.

2. Characterization of polynomial growth cohomology

Recall from the introduction that the polynomial cohomology of a finitely generated discrete group G with coefficients in a Fréchet space V is defined as $\mathrm{Ext}^*_{S_1(G)}(\mathbb{C}, V)$. To calculate such cohomology groups one needs a topologically projective resolution with continuous $\mathbb{C}$-linear splittings [43] of $\mathbb{C}$ over $S_1(G)$:

$$0 \leftarrow \mathbb{C} \leftarrow P_0 \leftarrow P_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow P_n \leftarrow \cdots \quad (*)$$

Then $\mathrm{Ext}^*_{S_1(G)}(\mathbb{C}, V)$ is the homology group of the complex of continuous module homomorphisms:

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_{S_1(G)}(P_0, V) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{S_1(G)}(P_1, V) \to \cdots \to \mathrm{Hom}_{S_1(G)}(P_n, V) \to \cdots \quad (**)$$

The usual topological bar resolution [23] is such a resolution but it is infinite dimensional after taking Hom in (**). In this section we wish to find a topological resolution (*) so that (**) becomes finite dimensional for each degree under certain assumptions for the group.

Let X be a polyhedral complex, with n-skeleton denoted by $X^{(n)}$. A collection of $(n+1)$-cells a is a filling of the n-boundary b if $\partial a = b$. The filling length of b is the least number of cells needed to fill it. Denote the filling length of b by $\ell_f(b)$, and the number of cells in b by $|b|$. The n-th Dehn function of X is the function $d^n : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ defined by

$$d^n(k) = \max_b \ell_f(b)$$

where this maximum is taken over n-boundaries b with $|b| \leq k$. In this way given an n-boundary b it is possible to find a filling a with $|a| \leq d^n(|b|)$. This notion does not take into account the position of b in X, only how many cells in b.

Let x_0 be a fixed vertex of X. This induces a length function on the vertices by $\ell_X(v) = d_X(x_0, v)$, where d_X is the graph metric on $X^{(1)}$. Let σ be an n-cell with vertices v_0, v_1, …, v_n. define the length of σ to be $\ell_X(\sigma) = \ell_X(v_0) + \ldots + \ell_X(v_n)$, the sum of the lengths of the vertices. The weighted number of cells in b is given by

$$|b|_w = \sum_{\sigma \in b} \ell_X(\sigma)$$

For a boundary b the weighted filling length of b, $\ell^w_f(b)$, is $\min\{|a|_w \mid \partial a = b\}$. The n-th weighted Dehn function of X, $d^n_w : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}_+$, is given by

$$d^n_w(k) = \max\left\{\ell^w_f(b) \mid |b|_w \leq k\right\}$$

In this way if b is an n-boundary, there is a filling a with $|a|_w \leq d^n_w(|b|_w)$. A function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ dominates $g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ if there are constants A, B, C, D, and E such that for all n, $f(n) \leq Ag(Bn + C) + Dn + E$. f and g are equivalent if each dominates the other. In the case of the usual Dehn function, this is the natural notion of equivalence.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that X and Y are two polyhedral complexes with connected 1-skeletons, upon which a finitely generated group G acts properly by isometries, each with only finitely many orbits of cells in every dimension. Then X and Y have equivalent weighted Dehn functions in all dimensions.*

Proof. Let the fixed base-point vertices of X and Y be x_0 and y_0 respectively. $X^{(1)}$ and $Y^{(1)}$ are quasiisometric, as they are each quasiisometric to G. Let $\Phi : X^{(1)} \to Y^{(1)}$ and $\Psi : Y^{(1)} \to X^{(1)}$ be quasiinverse quasi-isomorphisms. At the expense of enlarging the constants involved, it is assumed that Φ and Ψ map vertices to vertices and basepoint to basepoint. Let $d^1_{w,X}$ and $d^1_{w,Y}$ be the weighted Dehn functions for filling 1-boundaries in X and Y respectively. As there are only finitely many orbits of 2-cells in X and Y, assume that no 2-cell has more than J vertices.

Let $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n$ be the vertices of some 1-boundary, β, in $Y^{(1)}$. Let $v_i = \Psi(y_i)$. There is a constant C such that for any $y, y' \in Y^{(0)}$, $d_X(\Psi(y), \Psi(y')) \leq C d_Y(y, y')$. As $d_Y(y_i, y_{i+1}) = 1$ and $d_Y(y_n, y_1) = 1$, $d_X(v_i, v_{i+1}) \leq C$ and $d_X(v_n, v_1) \leq C$. Let $\alpha_{i,i+1}$ be a geodesic path in $X^{(1)}$ connecting v_i to v_{i+1}, and $\alpha_{n,1}$ connecting v_n to v_1. The concatenation of the $\alpha_{i,j}$ paths yield a 1-boundary, α, in $X^{(1)}$. Each vertex in one of the $\alpha_{i,i+1}$ paths is within C from v_i, so has length no more than $\ell_X(v_i) + C$. The weighted length of each edge in $\alpha_{i,i+1}$ is no more than $2\ell_X(v_i) + C$. As there are at most C edges in each $\alpha_{i,i+1}$, it has weighted length bounded by $2C\ell_X(v_i) + C$. The weighted length of α is bounded by $\sum_i (2C\ell_X(v_i) + C)$. If λ and D are the quasiisometry constants of Ψ, then $d_X(x_0, v_i) \leq \lambda d_Y(y_0, y_i) + D$, so the weighted length of α is bounded by $\sum_i (2C\lambda\ell_Y(y_i) + C(2D + 1))$. As the weighted length of β is $2\sum_i \ell_Y(y_i)$ there is a positive constant M such that $|\alpha|_w \leq M|\beta|_w$, with M independent of β.

There is a filling γ with $|\gamma|_w \leq d^1_{w,X}(|\alpha|_w) \leq d^1_{w,X}(M|\beta|_w)$. Let σ be a 2-cell in γ, with vertices $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_j)$. Let $u_i = \Phi(x_i)$. As $d_X(x_i, x_{i+1}) = 1$ and $d_X(x_j, x_1) = 1$, $d_Y(u_i, u_{i+1}) \leq C'$ and $d_Y(u_n, u_1) \leq C'$ for some constant C' as above. Let $\mu_{i,i+1}$ and $\mu_{j,1}$ be geodesic paths in $Y^{(1)}$ connecting u_i to u_{i+1}, and connecting u_j to u_1, respectively. Denote the resulting cycle obtained by concatenating these paths, by μ. μ need not be a 2-cell in Y, however the number of vertices in the boundary of σ is bounded by J. Thus the length around the boundary of μ is bounded by at most $C'J$. There is a constant L such that each μ can be filled by at most L 2-cells in Y. Denote a filling with minimal weighted length as $\Phi(\sigma)$. $\Phi(\sigma)$ is not a single 2-cell, but is a connected subcomplex of $Y^{(2)}$. The length of each vertex in $\Phi(\sigma)$ is bounded by $\ell_Y(u_1) + JL$. As above we find a positive constant M' such that $|\Phi(\sigma)|_w \leq M'|\sigma|_w$. Let $\Phi(\gamma)$ be the subcomplex spanned by all of the $\Phi(\sigma)$, for all 2-cells $\sigma \in \gamma$. $|\Phi(\gamma)|_w \leq M'|\gamma|_w$, with $\Phi(\gamma)$ nearly filling β.

Consider $\Phi(v_i) = \Phi(\Psi(y_i))$. There is K such that $d_Y(y_i, \Phi(v_i)) \leq K$ for all i. Let ν_i be a geodesic path in Y connecting y_i to $\Phi(v_i)$. Recall that $\alpha_{i,i+1}$ connects v_i to v_{i+1} in X. In the construction of $\Phi(\gamma)$, each edge of $\alpha_{i,i+1}$ was lifted back into Y, as a path of edges, say $\Phi(\alpha_{i,i+1})$. The concatenation of the edge between y_i and y_{i+1}, $[y_i, y_{i+1}]$, with ν_i, $\Phi(\alpha_{i,i+1})$, and ν_{i+1} gives a 1-boundary in Y with uniformly bounded length around the cycle. There is then a filling of this cycle, $\eta_{i,i+1}$, by a uniformly bounded number of 2-cells in Y, and $|\eta_{i,i+1}|_w \leq M''|[y_i, y_{i+1}]|_w$, for some universal constant M''. Let β_f be the subcomplex spanned by $\Phi(\gamma)$ and all of the $\eta_{i,i+1}$. From the construction, β_f is a filling of β, and $|\beta_f|_w \leq |\Phi(\gamma)|_w + \sum |\eta_{i,i+1}|_w$. Also from above, $|\beta_f|_w \leq M'd^1_{w,X}(M|\beta|_w) + M''|\beta|_w$. It follows that a weighted Dehn function for X dominates one for Y. By reversing the quasiisometries the two 1-dimensional weighted Dehn functions are seen to be equivalent. The higher dimensional cases are proven similarly. ☐

By examining the proof, replacing the weighted lengths by the usual cardinality counting the following is also apparent.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that X and Y are two polyhedral complexes acted upon properly by a discrete group G. Moreover assume that in each dimension, X and Y have only finitely many orbits of cells under this action, and have connected 1-skeletons. X and Y have equivalent Dehn functions in all dimensions.*

For the case of filling 1-boundaries, this is well-known. [5]

A natural question is how a weighted Dehn function relates to the usual Dehn function.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that G and X are as in the previous lemma. $d^n_w(x)$ is bounded above by $d^n(x)\,(x + d^n(x))$, up to equivalence.*

Proof. Let u be an n-boundary in X, with weighted length $|u|_w$. There is a filling ω of u by $(n+1)$-cells with $N = |\omega| \leq d^n(|u|) \leq d^n(|u|_w)$ as above. We estimate $|\omega|_w$ in terms of $|u|_w$. Let $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_N$ be the $(n+1)$-cells of ω. By the finiteness property of X, there are constants J and J' such that each σ_i has no more than J edges and J' vertices. Let v be a vertex of u. For every vertex v' of any σ_i, $\ell_X(v') \leq \ell_X(v) + NJ$.

$$\begin{aligned}
|\sigma_i|_w &= \sum_{v' \in \sigma_i} \ell_X(v') \\
&\leq \sum_{v' \in \sigma_i} (\ell_X(v) + NJ) \\
&\leq J'\ell_X(v) + NJJ' \\
&\leq J'|u|_w + JJ'd^n(|u|) \\
&\leq J'|u|_w + JJ'd^n(|u|_w)
\end{aligned}$$

As there are no more than $d^n(|u|_w)$ such σ_i, we have that $d^n_w(|u|_w) \leq d^n(|u|_w)\left(J'|u|_w + JJ'd^n(|u|_w)\right)$ ☐

Lemma 2.4. *Let G and X be as above. $d^n(x)$ is bounded by $xd^n_w(x(x+1))$, up to equivalence.*

Proof. Let x_0 be the basepoint of X, and let u be a connected n-boundary in X. By cocompactness there is a positive constant L such that for any vertex $v \in X$ there is $g \in G$ such that $d_X(x_0, gv) \leq L$. Let v be a vertex of u, let g be such a group element, and let $u' = g \cdot u$. There are constants J and J' such that any n-cell of X has at most J edges and J' vertices. The length of each vertex of u' is bounded by $L + J|u|$. The weighted length of each cell of u' is then bounded by $J'(L + J|u|)$, yielding $|u'|_w \leq J'|u|(L + J|u|)$. Let ω' be a filling of u' with $|\omega'|_w \leq d^n_w(|u'|_w)$. $\omega = g^{-1} \cdot \omega'$ is a filling of u with

$$\begin{aligned}
|\omega| &= |\omega'| \\
&\leq |\omega'|_w \\
&\leq d^n_w(|u'|_w) \\
&\leq d^n_w\left(J'|u|\left(L + J|u|\right)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Assume that u is not connected. Let $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k$ be the connected components of u. Each u_i is itself a boundary so there are fillings ω_i of u_i with $|\omega_i| \leq d^n_w\left(J'|u_i|\left(L + J|u_i|\right)\right)$. As $|u_i| \leq |u|$ and $k \leq |u|$ the collection of all ω_i constitute a filling of u with no more than $|u|d^n_w\left(J'|u|\left(L + J|u|\right)\right)$ cells. ☐

Corollary 2.5. *Let G and X be as above. The Dehn function d^n is polynomially bounded if and only if the weighted Dehn function d_w^n is polynomially bounded.*

This shows that there is a wide class of groups having polynomially bounded weighted Dehn functions in all dimensions. By work of Gersten it includes all finitely generated groups endowed with combings of polynomial length. We will also show later that groups of polynomial growth are in this class.

Suppose G has a classifying space X' with the homotopy type of a polyhedral complex with finitely many cells in each dimension, and let X be the universal cover of X'. This is the case for combable groups by the work of Gersten in [15]. Ogle refers to such groups as HF^∞ groups in [37]. The following characterizes the isocohomological HF^∞ groups, in terms of higher Dehn functions.

Theorem 2.6. *For an HF^∞ group G, with X as above, the following are equivalent.*

1. *$HP^*(G;V) \to H^*(G;V)$ is an isomorphism for all coefficients V.*
2. *$HP^*(G;V) \to H^*(G;V)$ is surjective for all coefficients V.*
3. *All higher Dehn functions of X are polynomially bounded.*

Proof. (1) $\implies$ (2): Obvious.

(2) $\implies$ (3): This is similar in spirit to Mineyev's proof that if the comparison homomorphism from bounded cohomology of G to the group cohomology of G is surjective for all coefficients, then G is hyperbolic [34]. The difficulty here lies in analyzing the Fréchet nature of our coefficients, rather than the Banach structure in the bounded case.

Let V be the collection of polynomially bounded $(n-1)$-boundaries with complex coefficients, endowed with the Fréchet space structure endowed by the family of filling norms defined as follows: Let $\xi \in V$. We say $\|\xi\|_{f,k} \leq M_k$ if there is a $\phi \in C_n(X)$ of polynomial growth with $\partial\phi = \xi$, and $\|\phi\|_k \leq M_k$.

Let Y be the geometric realization of the bar complex of G. That is, there is an n-cell in Y for every $(n+1)$-tuple $[g_0, g_1, \dots, g_n]$ of elements of G, endowed with the diagonal action of G. Let $C_n(X)$ and $C_n(Y)$ denote the complex valued algebraic n-chains in X and Y respectively. As both complexes yield projective $\mathbb{C}G$-module resolutions of $\mathbb{C}$, there are homotopy equivalences $\phi_* : C_*(Y) \to C_*(X)$ and $\psi_* : C_*(X) \to C_*(Y)$ which are $\mathbb{C}G$-module morphisms.

Let $C^*(X,V) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}G}(C_*(X),V)$ and $C^*(Y,V) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}G}(C_*(Y),V)$ be the dual cochain complexes with dual maps $\phi^* : C^*(X,V) \to C^*(Y,V)$ and $\psi^* : C^*(Y,V) \to C^*(X,V)$. The cochain map $\psi^* \circ \phi^*$ is homotopic to the identity, so $\psi^* \circ \phi^*$ induces the identity map on cohomology $H^*(G,V)$ in all positive dimensions. We will make use of the pairings $< \cdot | \cdot >$: $C^*(X,V) \oplus C_*(X) \to V$ and $< \cdot | \cdot >$: $C^*(Y,V) \oplus C_*(Y) \to V$.

Consider the map $u : C_n(X) \to V$ given by the composition $C_n(X) \xrightarrow{\partial} B_{n-1}(X) \hookrightarrow V$, where $B_{n-1}(X)$ is the image of $\partial : C_n(X) \to C_{n-1}(X)$; They are the finitely supported $(n-1)$-boundaries, which can be included into V. u is a linear map, commuting with the $\mathbb{C}G$-action, so $u \in C^n(X,V)$. In fact u is an n-cocycle. As $\psi^* \circ \phi^*$ is the identity map in cohomology, there is a $(n-1)$-cochain v with $u = (\psi^n \circ \phi^n)(u) + \delta v$. $\phi^n(u)$ is a n-cocycle in $C^n(Y,V)$, so by assumption there is a polynomially bounded n-cocycle u' and some $(n-1)$-cochain v' such that $\phi^n(u) = u' + \delta v'$. As V is a Fréchet space with a family of norms $\| \cdot \|_{f,k}$, we must make precise exactly what is meant that u' is polynomially bounded. It means the following: For every k there exists a polynomial P_k such that for each $\xi \in C_n(Y)$, $\|u'(\xi)\|_{f,k} \leq P_k(\|\xi\|_k)$, where $\|\xi\|_k$ is the usual polynomially weighted ℓ^1 norm.

For an $(n-1)$-chain

$$b = \sum_{g_0,g_1,\dots,g_{n-1} \in G} \beta_{g_0,g_1,\dots,g_{n-1}} [g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}]$$

in Y, define the cone over b, $[e,b]$, to be

$$[e,b] = \sum_{g_0,g_1,\dots,g_{n-1} \in G} \beta_{g_0,g_1,\dots,g_{n-1}} [e, g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}]$$

It is clear that if b is a cycle, $\partial[e,b] = b$ so $[e,b]$ serves as a filling of b, with the property that $\|[e,b]\|_k = \|b\|_k$ for all k. If α is a cocycle in $C^n(X,V)$ and $c \in C_n(X)$ then $< \alpha \,|\, c - [e, \partial c] >= 0$, since $c - [e,\partial c]$ is a boundary. Therefore $< \alpha \,|\, c >=< \alpha \,|\, [e, \partial c] >$.

Let b be any $(n-1)$-boundary in $B_{n-1}(X)$, and let a be any n-chain with $\partial a = b$.

$$\begin{aligned}
b &= \partial a \\
&= < u \,|\, a > \\
&= < (\psi^n \circ \phi^n)(u) + \partial v \,|\, a > \\
&= < (\psi^n \circ \phi^n)(u) \,|\, a > + < v \,|\, b > \\
&= < \phi^n(u) \,|\, \psi_n(a) > + < v \,|\, b >
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \ < \phi^n(u) \,|\, [e, \partial\psi_n(a)] > + < v \,|\, b > \\
&= \ < \phi^n(u) \,|\, [e, \psi_{n-1}(b)] > + < v \,|\, b > \\
&= \ < u' + \delta v' \,|\, [e, \psi_{n-1}(b)] > + < v \,|\, b > \\
&= \ < u' \,|\, [e, \psi_{n-1}(b)] > + < v' \,|\, \partial[e, \psi_{n-1}(b)] > + < v \,|\, b > \\
&= \ < u' \,|\, [e, \psi_{n-1}(b)] > + < v' \,|\, \psi_{n-1}(b) > + < v \,|\, b > \\
&= \ < u' \,|\, [e, \psi_{n-1}(b)] > + < \psi^{n-1}(v') \,|\, b > + < v \,|\, b > \\
&= \ < u' \,|\, [e, \psi_{n-1}(b)] > + < \psi^{n-1}(v') + v \,|\, b >
\end{aligned}$$

Thus for each k,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|b\|_{f,k} &\leq \| < u' \,|\, [e, \psi_{n-1}(b)] > \|_{f,k} + \| < \psi^{n-1}(v') + v \,|\, b > \|_{f,k} \\
&\leq P_k(\|\psi_{n-1}(b)\|_k) + \| < \psi^{n-1}(v') + v \,|\, b > \|_{f,k}
\end{aligned}$$

$\psi_{n-1} : C_{n-1}(X) \to C_{n-1}(Y)$ is a linear map, commuting with the G-action. As X has finitely many orbits of $(n-1)$-cells, there are $\sigma_0, \ldots,$ $\sigma_i \in X^{(n-1)}$, representatives of the orbits, such that for each $\sigma \in G \cdot \sigma_j$, $\ell_X(\sigma_j) \leq \ell_X(\sigma)$. Let $g \in G$ be such that $\sigma = g \cdot \sigma_j$, with σ_j having vertices $(v_0, \ldots, v_{n-1})$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\ell_X(\sigma) &= \ell_X(g\sigma_j) \\
&= \ell_X(gv_0) + \ldots + \ell_X(gv_{n-1}) \\
&= d_X(x_0, gv_0) + \ldots + d_X(x_0, gv_{n-1}) \\
&\leq d_X(x_0, gx_0) + d_X(gx_0, gv_0) + \ldots \\
&\quad + d_X(x_0, gx_0) + d_X(gx_0, gv_{n-1}) \\
&= nd_X(x_0, gx_0) + d_X(x_0, v_0) + \ldots + d_X(x_0, v_{n-1} \\
&= nd_X(x_0, gx_0) + \ell_X(\sigma_j)
\end{aligned}$$

Since the quotient of $X^{(1)}$ by the G-action is finite, the length function on G given by $\hat{\ell}(g) = d_X(x_0, gx_0)$ is bilipschitz equivalent to the word-length function on G. Thus there is a constant $C' > 0$ such that $\frac{1}{C'}\ell(g) \leq d_X(x_0, gx_0) \leq C'\ell(g)$. In this way, $\ell_X(\sigma) \leq nC'\ell(g) + \ell_X(\sigma_j)$. As there are only finitely many σ_j, there are constants C and D such that $\ell_X(\sigma) \leq C\ell(g) + D$.

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\ell_X(\sigma) &= \ell_X(g\sigma_j) \\
&= \ell_X(gv_0) + \ldots + \ell_X(gv_{n-1}) \\
&= d_X(x_0, gv_0) + \ldots + d_X(x_0, gv_{n-1}) \\
&\geq d_X(x_0, gx_0) - d_X(gx_0, gv_0) + \ldots
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & +d_X(x_0, gx_0) - d_X(gx_0, gv_{n-1}) \\ \geq\ & nd_X(x_0, gx_0) - (d_X(x_0, v_0) + \ldots + d_X(x_0, v_{n-1})) \\ \geq\ & nd_X(x_0, gx_0) - \ell_X(\sigma_j) \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with the bilipschitz constant from above yields

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(g) &\leq C' d_X(x_0, gx_0) \\ &\leq \frac{C'}{n}\ell_X(\sigma) + \frac{1}{n}\ell_X(\sigma_j) \end{aligned}$$

As there are only finitely many σ_j, there are constants A and B such that $\ell(g) \leq A\ell_X(\sigma) + B$. Thus the lengths $\ell(g)$ and $\ell_X(\sigma)$ are linearly equivalent.

Again consider $\|\psi_{n-1}(b)\|_k$, with

$$\psi_{n-1}(\sigma_j) = \sum_{g_0,\ldots,g_{n-1}} \alpha^j_{g_0,\ldots,g_{n-1}}[g_0, \ldots, g_{n-1}]$$

Then $\psi_{n-1}(g \cdot \sigma_j) = \sum_{g_0,\ldots,g_{n-1}} \alpha^j_{g_0,\ldots,g_{n-1}}[gg_0, \ldots, gg_{n-1}]$ by the equivariance of ψ_{n-1}. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_{n-1}(g \cdot \sigma_j)\|_k &= \sum_{g_0,\ldots,g_{n-1}} |\alpha^j_{g_0,\ldots,g_{n-1}}| \left(1 + \ell(gg_0) + \ldots + \ell(gg_{n-1})\right)^k \\ &\leq \sum_{g_0,\ldots,g_{n-1}} |\alpha^j_{g_0,\ldots,g_{n-1}}| \left(1 + \ell(g_0) + \ldots + \ell(g_{n-1}) + n\ell(g)\right)^k \\ &\leq \sum_{g_0,\ldots,g_{n-1}} |\alpha^j_{g_0,\ldots,g_{n-1}}| \left(1 + \ell(g_0) + \ldots + \ell(g_{n-1})\right)^k \cdot n^k \left(1 + \ell(g)\right)^k \\ &= n^k \left(1 + \ell(g)\right)^k \|\phi_{n-1}(\sigma_j)\|_k \end{aligned}$$

For each k, let $\|\phi_{n-1}\|_{\infty,k} = \max\{\|\phi_{n-1}(\sigma_j)\|_k \,|\, j = 0, 1, \ldots, i\}$, a finite constant. Then if $b = \sum_{\sigma \in X^{(n-1)}} \beta_\sigma \cdot \sigma$, let $b_j = \sum_{g \in G} \beta_{g,\sigma_j} \cdot g\sigma_j$ be the projection onto the orbit spanned by σ_j. As there may be several group elements translating σ_j to σ, we pick one of minimal length and let $\beta_{g,\sigma_j} = \beta_\sigma$ for this particular g. For all other group elements translating σ_j to σ, we require $\beta_{g,\sigma_j} = 0$. In this way there is a single group element representing every cell in this orbit, and $\|b\|_k = \sum_j \|b_j\|_k$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_{n-1}(b_j)\|_k &= \|\sum_{g \in G} \beta_{g,\sigma_j} \psi_{n-1}(g \cdot \sigma_j)\|_k \\ &\leq \sum_{g \in G} |\beta_{g,\sigma_j}| \|\psi_{n-1}(g \cdot \sigma_j)\|_k \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\leq n^k \|\psi_{n-1}\|_{\infty,k} \sum_{g\in G} |\beta_{g,\sigma_j}| \left(1+\ell(g)\right)^k \\
&\leq A\|b_j\|_k
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\psi_{n-1}(b) = \sum_j \psi_{n-1}(b_j)$, we have that there is a constant A_k such that $\|\psi_{n-1}(b)\|_k \leq A_k \|\psi_{n-1}\|_{\infty,k}\|b\|_k$, whence the first term in the above is appropriately bounded.

Now consider a linear G-equivariant map $\eta : C_{n-1}(X) \to V$. We wish to bound $\|\eta(b)\|_{f,k}$ in terms of $\|b\|_k$. As above, let $b = \sum_j b_j$. Let $\|\eta\|_{f,\infty,k} = \max\{\|\eta(\sigma_j)\|_{f,k}\}$, and $a_j \in C_n(X)$ be such that $\|\eta(\sigma_j)\|_{f,k} = \|a_j\|_k$ with $\partial a_j = \eta(\sigma_j)$. Then $\partial(g\cdot a_j) = \eta(g\cdot\sigma_j)$. Let $a_j = \sum_{\gamma\in X^{(n-1)}} \alpha^j_\gamma \gamma$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\eta(g\cdot\sigma_j)\|_{f,k} &\leq \|g\cdot a_j\|_k \\
&= \|\sum_{\gamma\in X^{(n-1)}} \alpha^j_\gamma g\cdot\gamma\|_k \\
&= \sum_{\gamma\in X^{(n-1)}} |\alpha^j_\gamma| \left(1+\ell_X(g\cdot\gamma)\right)^k \\
&\leq \sum_{\gamma\in X^{(n-1)}} |\alpha^j_\gamma| \left(1+\ell_X(gv^\gamma_0)+\ldots+\ell_X(gv^\gamma_{n-1})\right)^k \\
&\leq M\left(1+\ell(g)\right)^k \sum_{\gamma\in X^{(n-1)}} |\alpha^j_\gamma| \left(1+\ell_X(v^\gamma_0)+\ldots+\ell_X(v^\gamma_{n-1})\right)^k \\
&= M\left(1+\ell(g)\right)^k \|a_j\|_k \\
&= M\left(1+\ell(g)\right)^k \|\eta(\sigma_j)\|_{f,k} \\
&\leq M\left(1+\ell(g)\right)^k \|\eta\|_{f,\infty,k}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some positive constant M. Let b_j be as above.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\eta(b_j)\|_{f,k} &= \|\sum_{g\in G} \beta_{g,\sigma_j}\eta(g\cdot\sigma_j)\|_{f,k} \\
&\leq \sum_{g\in G} |\beta_{g,\sigma_j}| \|\eta(g\cdot\sigma_j)\|_{f,k} \\
&\leq M\|\eta\|_{f,\infty,k} \sum_{g\in G} |\beta_{g,\sigma_j}| \left(1+\ell(g)\right)^k \\
&\leq M'\|\eta\|_{f,\infty,k}\|b_j\|_k
\end{aligned}
$$

As before it follows that there is a constant B_k such that $\|\eta(b)\|_{f,k} \leq B_k \|b\|_k$. Letting $\eta = \psi^{n-1}(v') + v$ the second term of the above is appropriately bounded. This proves the result in filling from dimension $n-1$ to dimension n.

(3) $\implies$ (1): This implication is similar to Theorem 2.2.4 of Ogle in [37]. Ogle's definition of higher Dehn functions is somewhat different than that given here, so our proofs are different. We work in the framework of bornologies as developed in [22, 33]. Let $\mathcal{S}_n X$ be the set of all function $\phi : X^{(n)} \to \mathbb{C}$ for which each of the norms

$$\|\phi\|_k^X = \sum_{\sigma \in X^{(n)}} |\phi(\sigma)| \left(1 + \ell_X(\sigma)\right)^k$$

is finite. This is the ℓ^1 rapid-decay completion of the space of complex-valued n-chains, $C_n X$. We endow $\mathcal{S}_n X$ with the Fréchet bornology induced by this family of norms. The G action on $X^{(n)}$ extends to a boronological $\mathcal{S}G$-module structure on $\mathcal{S}_n X$. With the usual boundary map we find a complex of bornological $\mathcal{S}G$-modules:

$$\ldots \to \mathcal{S}_3 X \to \mathcal{S}_2 X \to \mathcal{S}_1 X \to \mathcal{S}_0 X \to \mathbb{C} \to 0$$

The minimal fillings allow us to map n-boundaries into $\mathcal{S}_{n+1} X$, due to the polynomially bounded Dehn functions. Diagram chasing extends this map to a bounded $\mathcal{S}_n X \to \mathcal{S}_{n+1} X$, yielding a $\mathbb{C}$-splitting of the complex. In particular, using the minimal fillings we construct a bounded linear map $f_n : \ker \partial \to C_{n+1} X$ for which if $\xi \in C_n X$ is in the image of $\partial : C_{n+1} X \to C_n X$ then $\partial f_n(\xi) = \xi$. Given an arbitrary $\xi \in C_n X$, ξ may not be in $\ker \partial$, but $\partial \xi$ is. Consider the map $s_n : C_n X \to C_{n+1} X$ by the formula $s_n(\xi) = f_n\left(\xi - f_{n-1}(\partial \xi)\right)$, using $\partial\left(\xi - f_{n-1}(\partial\xi)\right) = 0$. In this way

$$\begin{aligned}
(s_{n-1}\partial + \partial s_n)(\xi) &= s_n(\partial \xi) + \partial s_n(\xi) \\
&= f_{n-1}\left(\partial\xi - f_{n-2}(\partial\partial\xi)\right) + \partial\left(f_n\left(\xi - f_{n-1}(\partial\xi)\right)\right) \\
&= f_{n-1}(\partial\xi) + (\xi - f_{n-1})\partial\xi) \\
&= \xi
\end{aligned}$$

As the s_n maps are linear, being the composition of linear maps, they form a $\mathbb{C}$-splitting of the $C_* X$ complex. The polynomially bounded weighted Dehn function ensures that this splitting is bounded in the bornology on $C_n X$ induced as a subspace of $\mathcal{S}_n X$, so it extends to a bounded $\mathbb{C}$-linear splitting of the $\mathcal{S}_* X$ complex. Compare this complex to the bornologically projective $\mathbb{C}G$-resolution:

$$\ldots \to C_3X \to C_2X \to C_1X \to C_0X \to \mathbb{C} \to 0$$

where each of the C_nX are endowed with the fine bornology.

As there are only finitely many G-orbits of n-cells, C_nX is bornologically isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}G\hat{\otimes}W$ as bornological $\mathbb{C}G$-modules, for W a finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space, spanned by the G-orbits of n-cells. Similarly $\mathcal{S}_nX \cong \mathcal{S}G\hat{\otimes}W$ as bornological $\mathcal{S}G$-modules,for the same space W, implying that $\mathcal{S}_*X$ is a bornologically projective resolution of $\mathbb{C}$ over $\mathcal{S}G$.

Let V be any bornological $\mathcal{S}G$-module. V is then a bornological $\mathbb{C}G$-module by restriction. Using the properties of the bounded homomorphism functor we find

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{bHom}_{\mathcal{S}G}(\mathcal{S}_nX, V) &\cong \mathrm{bHom}_{\mathcal{S}G}(\mathcal{S}G\hat{\otimes}W, V)\\ &\cong \mathrm{bHom}(W, V)\\ &\cong \mathrm{bHom}_{\mathbb{C}G}(\mathbb{C}G\hat{\otimes}W, V)\\ &\cong \mathrm{bHom}_{\mathbb{C}G}(C_nX, V)\end{aligned}$$

As $\mathrm{bHom}_{\mathcal{S}G}(\mathcal{S}_nX, V)$ and $\mathrm{bHom}_{\mathbb{C}G}(C_nX, V)$ are isomorphic, the cohomology of the cocomplexes obtained by applying $\mathrm{bHom}_{\mathcal{S}G}(\cdot, V)$ and $\mathrm{bHom}_{\mathbb{C}G}(\cdot, V)$ are equal. By the work of Meyer, these are the polynomial cohomology of G and the group cohomology of G, respectively. □

By [16] finitely generated nilpotent groups have polynomially bounded Dehn function for filling 1-boundaries. In fact, nilpotent groups are isocohomological [23, 37, 32] and are of type HF^∞ so we have established the following generalization.

Corollary 2.7. *Finitely generated nilpotent groups have all higher Dehn functions polynomially bounded.*

We have also established the following.

Corollary 2.8. *Let* $\mathbb{Z}$ *act on* $\mathbb{Z}^2$ *by the matrix* $\begin{pmatrix}2 & 1\\ 1 & 1\end{pmatrix}$. $\mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ *is not isocohomological.*

Proof. It is clear that the semidirect product is of type HF^∞. By [12] this group has Dehn function which is at least exponential. □

This is the first example of a group without the isocohomological property.

The following is a natural generalization of the fact that a group quasi-isometric to a finitely presented group is itself finitely presented [17].

Lemma 2.9. *The class of HF^∞ groups is closed under quasiisometry.*

Proof. Let G and H be two quasiisometric groups, with G of type HF^∞. Let X be a polyhedral complex on which G acts freely by isometries, with finitely many orbits of cells in each dimension, as guaranteed by the HF^∞ property. Let $\Phi : G \to H$ and $\Psi : H \to G$ be the coarse inverse quasiisometries. G is also quasiisometric to $X^{(1)}$. Denote by $\alpha : G \to X^{(1)}$ and $\beta : X^{(1)} \to G$ the coarse inverse quasiisometries. The compositions $\alpha \circ \Psi$ and $\Phi \circ \beta$ are coarse inverse quasiisometries between H and $X^{(1)}$. Let λ and C be quasiisometry constants for each of these maps and $(\Phi \circ \beta) \circ (\alpha \circ \Psi)$.

We build an acyclic polyhedral complex on which H acts freely by isometries, with finitely many orbits of cells in each dimension. Let $\Gamma^{(1)}$ be the Cayley graph of H with respect to some fixed generating set. We identify the elements of H with the corresponding vertices in $\Gamma^{(0)}$.

There is a positive constant L_2 such that every elementary 2-cell in $X^{(2)}$ has at most L_2 edges around its boundary. Let u be such a 2-cell, and denote the vertices, around its boundary as x_1, x_2, …, x_n, $x_{n+1} = x_1$, with $d_{X^{(1)}}(x_i, x_{i+1}) = 1$. Let $x_i' = \Phi \circ \beta(x_i)$. $d_H(x_i', x_{i+1}') \leq \lambda + C$. Connecting x_i' to x_{i+1}' with a geodesic in $\Gamma^{(1)}$ we obtain a cycle of edges of length at most $L_2 (\lambda + C)$. As $\alpha \circ \Psi$ and $\Phi \circ \beta$ are coarse inverses, there is a K such that for all $h \in H$, $d_H(h, (\Phi \circ \beta) \circ (\alpha \circ \Psi)(h)) \leq K$. If $d_H(h, h') = 1$ then $d_H((\Phi \circ \beta) \circ (\alpha \circ \Psi)(h), (\Phi \circ \beta) \circ (\alpha \circ \Psi)(h')) \leq \lambda + C$. We then find a cycle of edges in $\Gamma^{(1)}$ from h to h' to $(\Phi \circ \beta) \circ (\alpha \circ \Psi)(h')$ to $(\Phi \circ \beta) \circ (\alpha \circ \Psi)(h)$ to h of length no more than $1 + 2K + \lambda + C$. Let $M_2 = \max\{L_2 (\lambda + C), 1 + 2K + \lambda + C\}$. A 2-cell is glued onto $\Gamma^{(1)}$ along each 1-cycle of length no more than M_2. As the H action on $\Gamma^{(0)}$ is transitive, we see that there are finitely many H orbits of such cells. This is $\Gamma^{(2)}$.

Let u be a simple 1-cycle in $\Gamma^{(1)}$ with vertices x_1, x_2, …, x_n, $x_{n+1} = x_1$, and let $v_i = (\alpha \circ \Psi)(x_i)$. $d_{X^{(1)}}(v_i, v_{i+1}) \leq \lambda + C$, so there is a geodesic in $X^{(1)}$ connecting v_i to v_{i+1}, with length no more than $\lambda + C$. Concatenating these paths results in a 1-cycle in X with length bounded by $n (\lambda + C)$. There is a filling in $X^{(2)}$ of this 1-cycle by 2-cells. The image under $\Phi \circ \beta$ of each of these 2-cells results in a 2-cell in $\Gamma^{(2)}$ constructed as above. These 2-cells do not fill u, rather they form a filling of '$(\Phi \circ \beta) \circ (\alpha \circ \Psi)(u)$'. Consider the edge $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$ of u. As above, the cycle from x_i to x_{i+1} to $(\Phi \circ \beta) \circ (\alpha \circ \Psi)(x_i)$ to $(\Phi \circ \beta) \circ (\alpha \circ \Psi)(x_{i+1})$ and back to x_i has length no more than $1 + 2K + \lambda + C$, so it is a 2-cell of $\Gamma^{(2)}$. When these are combined with the above 2-cells lifted from $X^{(2)}$ a filling of u is obtained. It follows that $\Gamma(2)$ is simply connected.

Let d_Γ^1 be the Dehn function for filling 1-cycles in Γ. There is L_3 such that the boundary of each 3-cell of $X^{(3)}$ contains no more than L_3 2-cells. As above, the image of each of these 2-cells under $\Phi \circ \beta$ is itself a 2-cell in $\Gamma^{(2)}$. It follows that given a 2-cycle in $X^{(2)}$ with n cells, the image under $\Phi \circ \beta$ is a 2-cycle in $\Gamma^{(2)}$ with n cells. Let σ be a 2-cell of $\Gamma^{(2)}$, with vertices x_1, x_2, …, x_n, $x_{n+1} = x_1$, and let $y_i = (\Phi \circ \beta) \circ (\alpha \circ \Psi)(x_i)$. y_i can be joined to y_{i+1} by a geodesic of length no more than $\lambda + C$, so there is a cycle from y_1 to y_2 to … to y_n to y_1 with length at most $M_2(\lambda + C)$. This 1-cycle can be filled by fewer than $d_\Gamma^1(M_2(\lambda + C))$ 2-cells. Denote this filling by σ_b. Similarly there is a 1-cycle obtained by traveling from x_i to x_{i+1} to y_{i+1} to y_i to x_i, with length bounded by $1 + 2K + \lambda + C \leq M_2$, thus it can be filled by a single 2-cell σ_i. The 2-cycle with top σ, bottom σ_b, and sides σ_i has area no more than $M_2 + 1 + d_\Gamma^1(M_2(\lambda + C))$. Let $M_3 = \max\{L_3, M_2 + 1 + d_\Gamma^1(M_2(\lambda + C))\}$. We glue a 3-cell onto a 2-cycle ω in $\Gamma^{(2)}$ whenever ω has area no more than M_3. This gives $\Gamma^{(3)}$.

Let u be a connected 2-cycle in $\Gamma^{(2)}$ composed of the 2-cells ν_1, ν_2, …, ν_n, $\nu_{n+1} = \nu_1$. For each edge $[x, y]$ in the boundary of ν_i, there is a path $[(\alpha \circ \Psi)(x), (\alpha \circ \Psi)(y)]$ in $X^{(1)}$ with length no more than $\lambda + C$, and there are at most M_2 such edges for σ_i. These paths join to yield a 1-cycle in X, which can be filled with 2-cells of $X^{(2)}$. Denote this filling by $(\alpha \circ \Psi)(\nu_i)$. The collection of each of these $(\alpha \circ \Psi)(\nu_i)$ spans a 2-cycle in $X^{(2)}$, so it can be filled by 3-cells of $X^{(3)}$. By construction, the image under $\Phi \circ \beta$ of each of these 3-cells constitutes a 3-cell in $\Gamma^{(3)}$. As above, each ν_i generates a 2-cycle with area bounded by $M_2 + 1 + d_\Gamma^1(M_2(\lambda + C))$ with top ν_i, bottom $(\nu_i)_b$, and the appropriate edges. These also correspond to a single 3-cell each. The 3-complex spanned by the image under $\Phi \circ \beta$ of the fillings of the $(\alpha \circ \Psi)(\nu_i)$, and the 3-cells generated by each of the ν_i yield a filling of u. It follows that $\pi_2(\Gamma)$ is trivial.

Suppose that we have constructed $\Gamma^{(k)}$ in such a way that for each $m \leq k$, there are finitely many orbits of m cells under the H action, for $m < k$ $\pi_m(\Gamma)$ is trivial, and there are constants M_m such that the boundary of each m-cell in Γ consists of no more than M_m $(m-1)$-cells. Moreover, for $1 < m \leq k - 1$ suppose that for an m-cell σ in $X^{(m)}$, there is an m-cell $(\Phi \circ \beta)(\sigma)$, which has vertices corresponding to the image of the vertices of σ under $(\Phi \circ \beta)$ with geodesic edges as constructed above for 2 and 3-cells, and that there is a constant N_m such that for an m-cell σ, there is an m-complex $(\Phi \circ \beta) \circ (\alpha \circ \Psi)(\sigma)$ having as vertices the image under $(\Phi \circ \beta) \circ (\alpha \circ \Psi)$ of the vertices of σ as the 2-complex σ_b was constructed above.

Let u be an k-cell in $X^{(k)}$. Denote the $(k-1)$-cells in the boundary of u by $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1} = \sigma_1$, with $n \leq L_k$. Each σ_i maps to $(\Phi \circ \beta)(\sigma_i)$, a $(k-1)$-cell in $\Gamma^{(k-1)}$, yielding a $(k-1)$-cycle in Γ of area no more than L_k.

Let σ be a $(k-1)$-cell of $\Gamma^{(k-1)}$. The $(k-1)$-complex $\sigma_b = (\Phi \circ \beta) \circ (\alpha \circ \Psi)(\sigma)$ has area no more than N_{k-1}. For each $(k-2)$-cell, ν, in the boundary of σ, there corresponds a $(k-2)$-subcomplex $(\Phi \circ \beta) \circ (\alpha \circ \Psi)(\nu)$ of σ_b, which generate the boundary of σ_b. We refer to ν and the $(k-2)$-subcomplex $(\Phi \circ \beta) \circ (\alpha \circ \Psi)(\nu)$ as being parallel cells. Each vertex of ν is within an H-distance of K from the corresponding vertex of $(\Phi \circ \beta) \circ (\alpha \circ \Psi)(\nu)$. The $(k-2)$-subcomplex with top ν, bottom $(\Phi \circ \beta) \circ (\alpha \circ \Psi)(\nu)$, and sides obtained by connecting the corresponding vertices, bounds a single $(k-1)$-cell by construction. The $(k-1)$-complex with top σ, bottom σ_b, and sides the $(k-1)$-cells so obtained, consists of at most $1+N_{k-1}+M_{k-1}$ $(k-1)$-cells. Let $M_k = \max\{L_k, 1+N_{k-1}+M_{k-1}\}$. We glue a k-cell onto each $(k-1)$-cycle of $\Gamma^{(k-1)}$ with at most M_k $(k-1)$-cells. This yields $\Gamma^{(k)}$.

Let u be a connected $(k-1)$-cycle in $\Gamma^{(k-1)}$ composed of the $(k-1)$-cells $\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_n$. For each ν_i we form $(\alpha \circ \Psi)(\nu_i)$ as above. The collection of all $(\alpha \circ \Psi)(\nu_i)$ forms a $(k-1)$-cycle, denoted by $(\alpha \circ \Psi)(u)$ in $X^{(k-1)}$. There is a filling in $X^{(k)}$ of $(\alpha \circ \Psi)(u)$ by k-cells $\eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots, \eta_j$. Each $(\Phi \circ \beta)(\eta_i)$ gives a k-cell in Γ. For each ν_i, the $(k-1)$-cycle with top ν_i, bottom $(\nu_i)_b$, and the appropriate sides obtained by connecting the parallel faces, bounds a k-cell, ω_i. The k-subcomplex spanned by the $(\Phi \circ \beta)(\eta_i)$ and the ω_i forms a filling of u in $\Gamma^{(k)}$. As such, $\pi_k(\Gamma)$ is trivial.

The result follows by induction. □

Corollary 2.10. *A group quasiisometric to an HF^∞ group with the isocohomological property, itself is an HF^∞ group with the isocohomological property. In particular, in the class of HF^∞ groups, the isocohomological property is a coarse invariant.*

Proof. By [2] quasiisometric groups have equivalent higher Dehn functions. The result follows from Theorem 2.6 and Lemma 2.9. □

As all polynomial growth groups are virtually nilpotent we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.11. *The higher Dehn Functions for groups of polynomial growth are polynomially bounded.*

3. Combing relatively hyperbolic groups

The concept of a relatively hyperbolic group was proposed by Gromov [19], as a generalization of hyperbolicity, in terms of a group action on a δ-hyperbolic metric space. Farb [13] defined a more general 'weak relative hyperbolicity' in terms of the geometry of a coned-off Cayley graph, and introduced the bounded coset penetration property to allow estimates. Bowditch [4] defined a notion of relative hyperbolicity in terms of a group action on a graph and showed that his version is equivalent to both Gromov's relative hyperbolicity and Farb's weak relative hyperbolicity with the bounded coset penetration property. There are also notions of relative hyperbolicity due to Osin [39] and Mineyev-Yamen [35], which are given in terms of relative Dehn functions and relative complexes respectively, and which are equivalent to those above. We will use the characterization of relative hyperbolicity given by Farb, as weak relative hyperbolicity with the bounded coset penetration property.

Let G be a finitely generated group endowed with the word-metric d_G. A path in G is an eventually constant function $p : \mathbb{N} \to G$ such that for each n, $d_G(p(n), p(n+1)) \leq 1$. By identifying G with the vertices of its Cayley graph, we consider the path as a continuous function from $\mathbb{R}_+$ to G, with the interval $(m, m+1)$ mapped onto an edge if $p(m) \neq p(m+1)$, or mapped to the vertex $p(m) = p(m+1)$. The length of the path p is $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} d_G(p(n), p(n+1))$. As the path is eventually constant, it has finite length. We say that p starts at $p(0)$ and ends at $\lim_{t\to\infty} p(t)$.

Definition 3.1. *A combing of G is a family σ of paths, one for each element of G, satisfying the following.*

1. *For each $g \in G$, σ_g is a path starting at e and ending at g.*
2. *There is a $K > 0$ such that for all g and $g' \in G$ and all $t \in \mathbb{N}$, $d_G(\sigma_g(t), \sigma_{g'}(t)) \leq K d_G(g, g')$.*

σ is a polynomial combing if there is a polynomial P such that for all $g \in G$ the length of $\sigma(g)$ is no more than $P(d_G(g, e))$.

Of course this definition makes sense for any discrete metric space, once a distinguished basepoint has been identified. All automatic, hyperbolic, semihyperbolic, and CAT(0) groups are combable, with quasigeodesic paths.

Definition 3.2. *A combing σ of a discrete metric space (X, d) is said to have uniformly bounded return if there is a constant $N > 0$ such that for any $x \in X$ and any $y \neq x \in X$, the set $\{t \mid \sigma_x(t) = y\}$ has cardinality bounded by N.*

In particular any geodesic or quasigeodesic combing has uniformly bounded return. Our main interest in this property is the following.

Lemma 3.3. *Let (X, d) be a discrete metric space with bounded geometry, endowed with a combing of uniformly bounded return σ. There is a function f with the following property: For any x,$y \in X$, with t_x and t_y the times that σ_x and σ_y settle at x and y respectively, then $|t_y - t_x| \leq f(d(x, y))$.*

Proof. Suppose that K is the combing constant of σ, and let N be the return constant. We suppose without loss of generality that $t_y \leq t_x$. For all $t_y \leq t \leq t_x$, $d(y, \sigma_x(t)) \leq Kd(x, y)$ so that $\sigma_x(t)$ is in the ball of radius $Kd(x, y)$ centered at y. Let $V(r)$ be the volume of a ball of radius r. Then there are at most $V(Kd(x, y))$ possibilities for $\sigma_x(t)$. As σ_x can take each of these values at most N times, it must settle at x by $t_y + NV(Kd(x, y))$. Take $f(r) = NV(Kr)$. ☐

Let Γ be the Cayley graph of the group G with respect to some finite generating set, and let H_1, H_2, …, H_n be subgroups. The coned-off Cayley graph $\hat{G}$ is obtained from Γ by adding one vertex for each coset gH_i of each H_i in G, and adding an edge of length $1/2$ between each element vertex and the vertex of each coset to which the element belongs.

$\hat{G}$ is said to satisfy the bounded coset penetration (BCP) property if for each constant k there exists a constant $c = c(k)$ such that for every pair of k-quasigeodesics p and q in the coned-off Cayley graph with the same endpoints and without backtracking, satisfy

(1) If p penetrates a coset gH_i and q does not penetrate gH_i, then the point at which p enters the coset is at most a Γ-distance of $c(k)$ from the point at which p leaves the coset.
(2) If p and q both penetrate a coset gH_i, then the points at which p and q enter gH_i are at most a Γ-distance of $c(k)$ from each other. Similarly the points at which p and q exit the coset are within a Γ-distance of $c(k)$ from one-another.

Definition 3.4. *G is relatively hyperbolic with respect to the subgroups H_1, H_2, …, H_n, if $\hat{G}$ is δ-hyperbolic for some $\delta > 0$, and satisfies the BCP property.*

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\hat{G}$ be the coned-off Cayley graph of the group G with respect to the family of subgroups H_1, H_2, …, H_n. There is a family of geodesics α_g in $\hat{G}$, for $g \in G$, with $\alpha_g(0) = e$ and $\alpha_g(\ell_{\hat{G}}(g)) = g$, such that if $\alpha_g(t') = \alpha_{g'}(t')$ for some t', then $\alpha_g(t) = \alpha_{g'}(t)$ for all $t \leq t'$.*

Proof. Enumerate the group elements as $e = g_0, g_1, g_2, \ldots$, with $\ell_G(g_i) \leq \ell_G(g_{i+1})$ for all i. Let $[e, g_i]$ be some fixed geodesic in $\hat{G}$ from e to g_i. As geodesics, for each positive integer t, $[e, g_i](t)$ is a group element vertex, not a coset vertex. Clearly $[e, g_0]$ is the trivial path. If $\ell_G(g_i) = 1$ then $[e, g_i]$ is the geodesic consisting of the one edge from e to g_i. If $\ell_{\hat{G}}(g_i) = 1$ with $\ell_G(g_i) \neq 1$ then g_i is in the identity coset, but does not differ from e by a generator, so the geodesic from e to g_i must penetrate the coset H and then travel to g_i. In each of these cases we let $\alpha_{g_i} = [e, g_i]$. We inductively construct the remainder as follows.

Suppose we have constructed $\alpha_{g_{i'}}$ for all $i' < i$. Define

$$l_i(j) = \max \left\{ t \mid [e, g_i](t) = \alpha_{g_j}(t) \right\}$$

for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, i-1$. Let j be the largest of these indices which maximize l_i, with $l_i(j) = \bar{t}$. We define $\alpha_{g_i}(t) = \alpha_{g_j}(t)$ for $t \leq \bar{t}$ and $\alpha_{g_i}(t) = [e, g_i](t)$ for $t > \bar{t}$.

The final claim is obvious for $\ell_{\hat{G}}(g) \leq 1$ or $\ell_{\hat{G}}(g') \leq 1$. Suppose that $\alpha_{g_i}(t_0) = \alpha_{g_i'}(t_0)$ for some $t_0 > 0$, with $i > i'$. We take t_0 to be the largest time at which these two paths intersect. Take j and $\bar{t}$ as in the construction of α_{g_i} above. If $j = i'$ then $t_0 = \bar{t}$ and the two paths agree for all time less than t_0. Otherwise, $\bar{t} \geq t_0$, so for all time less than t_0 $\alpha_{g_i}(t) = \alpha_{g_j}(t)$. Moreover, α_{g_j} intersects $\alpha_{g_{i'}}$ at t_0 with both j and i' strictly less than i. By induction they agree for all time less than t_0. Therefore α_{g_i} agrees with $\alpha_{g_{i'}}$ as claimed. ☐

As $\hat{G}$ is hyperbolic, this set of paths forms a geodesic combing. Suppose that each H_i is combable with uniformly bounded return, with length bounded by polynomial P, and denote the combing of H_i by σ^i.

The combing of the subgroups and the combing α of $\hat{G}$ induce a system of paths β_g in G as follows. For $g \in G$ consider the path α_g in $\hat{G}$. In each unit interval of time $[t, t+1]$, for $t \in \mathbb{N}$, where α_g is not stationary, α_g either travels along one edge of length 1, or two edges of length 1/2. In the latter case, the α_g also penetrates a coset during this time interval. If during this interval α_g travels from a to b along a single edge of length 1, then $d_G(a, b) = 1$ so a and b are joined by an edge in Γ. The corresponding path β_g will travel in Γ from a to b along this edge, and stay at b for

$P(c(1))-1$ additional units of time. On the other hand, if α_g penetrates the coset vertex aH_i to reach b, then $b = ah$ for some $h \in H_i$. The corresponding path β_g will travel in Γ from a to b along the path $a\sigma^i_h$, the path σ^i_h translated to start at a. If this portion of β_g takes less than $P(c(1))$ units of time to traverse, then the path remains stationary at b, until the full $P(c(1))$ time has expired, while if this portion takes longer than $P(c(1))$ to traverse, it is not altered. β_g is the concatenation of these paths. In this way the projection of β_g into $\hat{G}$ is exactly α_g.

Theorem 3.6. *Suppose that G is relatively hyperbolic with respect to the subgroups H_i. The system of paths β_g constructed above is a polynomial length combing of G.*

It is not surprising that a group relatively hyperbolic to combable subgroups is itself combable; however, that the combing has polynomially bounded length when the subgroup combings do is new, and relies on the recent result that the bounded coset penetration function c is itself polynomially bounded [25]. We are curious about how to construct a combing without using estimates based on c. In particular, if the subgroups are quasigeodesically combable, must the full group be quasigeodesically combable?

The proof of Theorem 3.6 consists of a series of lemmas. We fix two elements g and g' of G, with $d_G(g, g') = 1$. α_g and $\alpha_{g'}$ are two 1-quasigeodesics which start at the same group element and end at group elements at distance 1 apart. We will use bounded coset penetration to compare them.

Definition 3.7. *Two cosets aH_i and bH_j are said to be synchronous with respect to g and g' if for some positive integer t, $\alpha_g(t)$ and $\alpha_{g'}(t)$ are elements in aH_i and bH_j respectively.*

Lemma 3.8. *Let aH_i and bH_j be synchronous cosets for g and g'. Assume α_g enters aH_i at a and exits at ah, while $\alpha_{g'}$ enters bH_j at b and exits at bh'. There is a constant M, independent of the cosets and the group elements, for which $d_G(a, b) \le M$ and $d_G(ah, bh') \le M$.*

Proof. If $aH_i = bH_j$ then $a = b$, $d_G(a, ah) \le c(1) + 1$, and $d_G(b, bh') \le c(1) + 1$. Otherwise, $aH_i \ne bH_j$, so $\alpha_{g'}$ can not intersect the coset aH_i, and α_g cannot intersect bH_j. Therefore, $d_G(a, ah) \le c(1) + 1$ and $d_G(b, bh') \le c(1)+1$. It is sufficient to show the result only for the exiting points.

If K is the combing constant for α, then $d_{\hat{G}}(ah, bh') \le K + 1$. Let u be a geodesic in $\hat{G}$ connecting ah to bh', let γ be the path from ah to g

followed by α_g, and let γ' the path from bh' to g' followed by $\alpha_{g'}$. Denote the path from g' to g obtained by following the edge connecting the two points as s.

Suppose that u does not penetrate any of the same cosets at γ or γ'. Then we have a geodesic γ from ah to g and a $(2K+3)$-quasigeodesic, obtained by concatenating u, γ', and s, also from ah to g, without backtracking, both starting and ending at the same point. By BCP any coset penetrated by u can be traveled through a distance at most $c(2K+3)$. It follows that $d_G(ah, bh') \leq (K+1)c(2K+3)$.

Suppose that u penetrates one of the cosets that γ' penetrates, but not none that are penetrated by γ. We assume that u is picked so that u and γ' agree after they meet. Let u be the concatenation of u' and u'', where u' is a geodesic from ah to the point where u and γ' first meet, and u' is the portion of u where it follows γ'. Let ω be the portion of α_g from e to ah, and ω' the portion of $\alpha_{g'}$ from e to the point where γ' and u first meet. ω' is a geodesic and the concatenation of ω and u' is a $(2K+2)$-quasigeodesic without backtracking, both starting and ending at the same point. By BCP u' can not penetrate any coset by more than $c(2K+2)$, so the G-distance between ah and the endpoint of u' is no more than $(K+1)c(2K+2)$. As $\alpha_{g'}$ and u agree past this endpoint, u'' can penetrate any coset by at most $c(1)$. The G-distance between bh' and the endpoint of u' is thus bounded by $(K+1)c(1)$. It follows that the G-distance from ah to bh' is no more than $(K+1)(c(2K+2)+c(1))$. The case where u penetrates some of the same cosets as γ, but none of those penetrated by γ' is similar.

Suppose that u penetrates cosets penetrated by both γ and γ'. We assume that u is the concatenation of u', u'', and u''', where u' is the portion of γ between ah and the last point where u meets γ, and u''' is the portion of γ' between the first point where u meets γ' and bh'. In this case, u'' penetrates no coset which is penetrated by either γ or γ'. By BCP as above, the G-distance between the endpoints of u'' is no more than $(K+1)c(2K+3)$. The G-distance between the endpoints of u' is no more than $(K+1)c(1)$, and the G-distance between the endpoints of u''' is no more than $(K+1)c(1)$. The G-distance from ah to bh' is therefore no more than $(K+1)(c(2K+3)+2c(1))$. □

Lemma 3.9. *Let aH_i and bH_j be synchronous cosets for g and g'. Assume β_g enters aH_i at time t_g and exits at $\bar{t}_g$, while $\beta_{g'}$ enters bH_j at time $t_{g'}$ and exits at $\bar{t}_{g'}$. There is a constant T, independent of the cosets and the group elements, for which $|t_g - t_{g'}| \leq T$ and $|\hat{t}_g - \hat{t}_{g'}| \leq T$.*

Proof. Let $x = \alpha_g(\hat{t}) = \alpha_{g'}(\hat{t})$ be the last group element where the two paths α_g and $\alpha_{g'}$ meet, and let t_x be the time for which $\beta_g(t_x) = \beta_{g'}(t_x) = x$. For all times $t \leq t_x$, $\beta_g(t) = \beta_{g'}(t)$ so the two paths enter and exit all earlier cosets at exactly the same time.

If both α_g and $\alpha_{g'}$ penetrate the coset xH, then they exit the coset xH at points with G-distance no more than $c(1)$ apart, while they enter xH at the same time. As the combing of H has uniformly bounded return, the times at which β_g and $\beta_{g'}$ leave xH differ by no more than $f(c(1))$, where f is the function from Lemma 3.3. Otherwise, neither α_g nor $\alpha_{g'}$ travel in xH by more than $c(1)$, so the time β_g and $\beta_{g'}$ spend in xH is exactly $P(c(1))$, so they exit at exactly the same time.

If $aH_i \neq bH_j$, then those cosets must be reached after time t_x. As $\alpha_{g'}$ does not penetrate aH_i and α_g does not penetrate bH_j, then β_g and $\beta_{g'}$ spend exactly $P(c(1))$ units of time in aH_i and bH_j respectively. Thus β_g and $\beta_{g'}$ exit cosets aH_i and bH_j with the same time difference with which they were entered. ☐

The previous lemmas show that the paths β form a synchronous combing. It remains to show that they are of polynomial length.

Lemma 3.10. *The paths β are of polynomial length.*

Proof. Let $g \in G$. Let γ be a geodesic in G from e to g, and let $\hat{\gamma}$ be the projection into $\hat{G}$. $\hat{\gamma}$ is a $\ell_G(g) + 1$-quasigeodesic, starting and stopping at the same group elements. If aH is a coset penetrated by α_g but not by $\hat{\gamma}$, then α_g can travel no more than $c(\ell_G(g) + 1)$ through aH. Then β_g can travel no more than $P(c(\ell_G(g) + 1)$ in the coset aH. If α_g and $\hat{\gamma}$ both penetrate aH, then they enter and exit within $c(\ell_G(g) + 1)$ of each other. As $\hat{\gamma}$ travels no more than $\ell_G(g)$ through aH, then α_g can travel no more than $\ell_G(g) + 2c(\ell_G(g) + 1)$ through the coset. Then β_g can travel no more than $P(\ell_G(g) + 2c(\ell_G(g) + 1))$ through aH. As α_g travels through no more than $\ell_G(g)$ cosets, the length of β_g is no more than $\ell_G(g)P(\ell_G(g) + 2c(\ell_G(g) + 1))$. By Proposition 2.2.7 of [25], the coset penetration function c is polynomially bounded. ☐

4. Classifying spaces for relatively hyperbolic groups

The characterization given in Theorem 2.6 raises natural questions for a group G relatively hyperbolic to a family of subgroups H_i. If each of the H_i are HF^∞ groups, is G? And if so, if the classifying spaces for H_i all have polynomially bounded higher Dehn functions, does the one for G? As polynomial combability implies both of these properties, the results of the previous section suggest that these are possible. The main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be relatively hyperbolic to HF^∞ subgroups H_1, H_2, …, H_n. If each H_i is isocohomological, then G is isocohomological.*

This will be accomplished by showing that if each H_i is HF^∞ then so is G, and that if each H_i has the appropriate Dehn functions, so does G. We do this by constructing out of the appropriate spaces for the H_i, a classifying space for G, similar to Gersten's construction in [15]. We remark that in [10], there is a construction of a classifying space for G when the subgroups are torsion-free. In our construction this requirement is unnecessary. For notational convience we will work with only a single subgroup. The proof for many subgroups is virtually identical.

Assume that G is relatively hyperbolic with respect to H, H has, as the universal cover of its classifying space, X, an aspherical polyhedral complex with finitely many orbits of cells in each dimension, and has weighted Dehn functions $d^n_{w,H}$. We may assume that X contains a copy of the Cayley graph of H.

Let Γ be the polyhedral complex constructed as follows. The vertices of Γ are precisely the vertices of X and its translates by elements of G.

The edges of Γ are the edges of X and those of its translates. There is also an edge between two group elements g and g' if $d_G(g, g') = 1$. This way we are assured that a copy of the Cayley graph of G lies in Γ.

Fix a system of paths σ in H, such that σ_h is a geodesic in H connecting the identity of H to h. This system need not be a combing, but the systems α and β can be constructed using σ as in section 3. α is still a combing of the coned-off graph, but β need not be a combing. The results of Lemma 3.8 and of Lemma 3.9 are still valid, as they did not rely on σ being a combing, but only on the structure of α and the bounded coset penetration property. Thus if g and g' are two elements of G, with $d_G(g, g') = 1$, we consider the paths β_g and $\beta_{g'}$. If g and g' are not in the same coset, For any t, $\beta_g(t)$ and $\beta_{g'}(t)$ are in synchronous cosets, say aH and bH. Assume that β_g and $\beta_{g'}$ enter cosets aH and bH at vertices a and b at times t_a and t_b respectively. By Lemma 3.8, $d_G(a, b) \leq M$, while by Lemma 3.9 $|t_a - t_b| \leq T$. As β_g and $\beta_{g'}$ travel no more than $c(1)$ through aH and bH (unless $aH = bH$, but then $a = b$ by construction) $d_G(\beta_g(t), \beta_{g'}(t)) \leq M + T + 2c(1)$.

Otherwise, g and g' are in the same coset. If β_g and $\beta_{g'}$ enter the coset at the same point, $\beta_g(t) = \beta_{g'}(s)$, then by construction $t = s$ and for all $t' < t$, $\beta_g(t') = \beta_{g'}(t')$. In case β_g and $\beta_{g'}$ do not enter the coset at the same point. Assume that β_g and $\beta_{g'}$ enter the coset at points $\bar{g}$ and $\bar{g'}$ at times t_g and $t_{g'}$ respectively. Assume also that $t_g \leq t_{g'}$. As above for all $t \leq t_{g'}$, $d_G(\beta_g(t), \beta_{g'}(t)) \leq M + T + 2c(1)$.

The construction given above allows us to 'comb' an edge with vertices lying in different translates of X, as a cone to the basepoint. The 2-cells of Γ are the 2-cells of X and those of its translates, as well as some special 2-cells glued along a 1-cycle consisting of no more than $2M+2T+4c(1)+2$ edges, all of whose vertices do not lie in the same translate of X. We call such 2-cells the *mixing* 2-cells, as they are the only 2-cells with vertices from multiple translates of X.

It is known by the work of Farb in [13] that the usual Dehn function for G is the maximum of the Dehn functions for the H_i. Rather than appealing to Lemma 2.3, we estimate the weighted Dehn function directly as follows.

Lemma 4.2. $\Gamma^{(2)}$ *is simply connected and has weighted Dehn function for filling* 1*-boundaries bounded by* $f(x) = x(x+1)\left(d^1_{w,H}(x) + 1\right)$.

Proof. As $d^*_{w,H}$ is defined in terms of a length function on H; Different length functions yield different weighted Dehn functions, with quasiisometric lengths yielding equivalent functions. As weighted Dehn functions are defined only up to this equivalence, we assume that $d^1_{w,H}$ is a weighted Dehn function corresponding to the length $\ell_X(v) = d_\Gamma(e, v)$, where e is the vertex of Γ corresponding to the identity element of G. This length is quasiisometric to the length on the 1-skeleton of X, so $d^1_{w,H}$ is equivalent to a weighted Dehn function on X. Let u be a 1-boundary in $\Gamma^{(1)}$. Label the vertices of u as $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$. Assume that v_i does not correspond to a group element, lying in the translate gX. From the finitely many orbits property of X, there is a constant L such that every vertex in $\Gamma^{(0)}$ not corresponding to a group element, is within a H-distance of L from a group element vertex in the same translate of X. Let v'_i be a group element vertex in the same translate as v_i, within an H-distance of L. When v_j does correspond to a group element, let $v'_j = v_j$. If v_i was a non-group element vertex, v'_{i-1} and v'_{i+1} are in the same translate as v'_i, as only group element vertices have edges exiting their translate of X. Thus there is an edge path in X connecting v'_{i-1} to v'_i, with only group element vertices. As $d_{gX}(v'_{i-1}, v'_i) \leq 2L + 1$, and X is quasiisometric to the Cayley graph of H, these paths can be chosen to have length no more than N, for some universal constant N, independent of u. Similarly v'_i can be connected to v'_{i+1} through a path of edge of length at most N, passing through only group element vertices. Doing this for all non-group element vertices on u results in a new cycle of edges u' with length no more than N times that of u, which travels through only group element vertices. This transition from u to u' in Γ is the result of passing portions of u through 2-cells of gX, so that u and u' are homotopic in $\Gamma^{(2)}$, thus if u' is contractible so is u. Moreover $|u'|_w \leq (2L+1)^2|u|_w$.

Thus assume that u contains only vertices corresponding to group elements. Again, denote these by v_1, v_2, …, v_n, $v_{n+1} = v_1$, and consider the β_{v_i} paths. If v_i and v_{i+1} lie in different cosets, $d_G(\beta_{v_i}(t), \beta_{v_{i+1}}(t)) \leq M + T + 2c(1)$ as above, so the cycle from $\beta_{v_i}(t), \beta_{v_i}(t+1), \beta_{v_{i+1}}(t+1), \beta_{v_{i+1}}(t)$ has length no more than $2M+2T+4c(1)+2$, and thus defines a 2-cell, and the region in u between β_{v_i} and $\beta_{v_{i+1}}$ is covered by at most $c(1)(\ell_\Gamma(v_i)+1)$ of these cells. Then assume v_i and v_{i+1} lie in the same coset. Denote by g_i the point where β_{v_i} enters the coset v_iH. As β_{v_i} and β_{g_i} agree up to g_i, β_{v_i} is the concatenation of β_{g_i} with γ_i, where γ_i is the path β_{v_i} travels through v_iH. For all t $d_\Gamma(\beta_{g_i}(t), \beta_{g_{i+1}}(t)) \leq M + T + 2c(1)$, so the cycle from $\beta_{g_i}(t), \beta_{g_i}(t+1), \beta_{g_{i+1}}(t+1), \beta_{g_{i+1}}(t)$ defines a 2-cell, and there are no more than $c(1)(\ell_\Gamma(v_i) + 1)$ of these cells.

Consider the cycle $g_i, v_i, v_{i+1}, g_{i+1}$, following u from v_i to v_{i+1}, γ_j from v_j to g_j, and a path within the coset from g_i to g_{i+1}, consisting only of group element vertices, which can be chosen to have length no more than $2c(1) + 1$. Denote this cycle by η. The weighted length, in G, of this cycle is bounded by $(2c(1) + 1)\,|u|_w$. Let g be a vertex of η with minimal length in G. Let η' be η translated by g^{-1}. That is, η' is the cycle in X, $g^{-1}g_i, g^{-1}v_i, g^{-1}v_{i+1}, g^{-1}g_{i+1}$, following the translated paths.

$$\begin{aligned}
|\eta'|_w &= \sum_{\sigma'\in\eta'} |\sigma'|_w \\
&= \sum_{\sigma'\in\eta'} \sum_{v'\in\sigma'} \ell_\Gamma(v') \\
&\leq \sum_{\sigma\in\eta} \sum_{v\in\sigma} (\ell_\Gamma(g) + \ell_\Gamma(v)) \\
&\leq \sum_{\sigma\in\eta} \sum_{v\in\sigma} (\ell_\Gamma(g) + \ell_\Gamma(v)) \\
&\leq \sum_{\sigma\in\eta} \sum_{v\in\sigma} (2\ell_\Gamma(v) + L) \\
&\leq (L+2)|\eta|_w
\end{aligned}$$

There is a filling, ω', of η' with $|\omega'|_w \leq d^1_{w,H}(\eta')$. Let ω be ω' translated by g, a filling of η in gX. If w is a vertex in ω', then $\ell_\Gamma(gw) \leq \ell_\Gamma(g) +$

$\ell_\Gamma(w)$. If $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_j$ are the vertices of a cell ν' in ω' then

$$\begin{aligned} |g\nu'|_w &\leq \sum_k \ell_\Gamma(gw_k) \\ &\leq \sum_k (\ell_\Gamma(g) + \ell_\Gamma(w_k)) \\ &\leq j\ell_\Gamma(g) + \sum_k \ell_\Gamma(w_k) \\ &= j\ell_\Gamma(g) + |\nu'|_w \\ &\leq J|\eta|_w + |\nu'|_w \end{aligned}$$

Where J is a constant such that no 2-cell in X has more than J vertices.

$$\begin{aligned} |\omega|_w &\leq \sum_{\nu'\in\omega'} |g\nu'|_w \\ &\leq \sum_{\nu'\in\omega'} (J|\eta|_w + |\nu'|_w) \\ &\leq J|\eta|_w|\omega'|_w + |\omega'|_w \\ &\leq (J|\eta|_w + 1)\, d^1_{w,H}(|\eta'|_w) \\ &\leq (J|\eta|_w + 1)\, d^1_{w,H}\left((L+2)|\eta|_w\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

u has at most $|u|$ possible such η cycles. These can be filled with weighted length at most $|u|_w\,(J|u|_w + 1)\, d^1_{w,H}\,((L+2)|u|_w)$. The remainder can be filled by cells with weighted length at most $c(1)|u|_w(|u|_w + 1)$, as above. As such,

$$\begin{aligned} d^1_{w,G}(|u|_w) &\leq |u|_w\,(J|u|_w + 1)\, d^1_{w,H}\,((L+2)|u|_w) \\ &\quad + c(1)|u|_w(|u|_w + 1). \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 4.3. *If X has polynomially bounded weighted 1-dimensional Dehn function, so does Γ.*

Let Δ be a mixing 2-cell. We 'comb' Δ to the basepoint by combing each of the edges as above, and make the appropriate identifications as collections of 2-cells. Bounding the area of each of these sides, we uniformly bound the area of each layer of the cone in terms of the 1-dimensional Dehn functions of Γ and X. An issue arises when an edge of Δ is not a mixing edge, but this is overcome using BCP and the fact that one of the faces of Δ is mixing. The details are as follows.

Assume that the vertices of Δ are v'_1, v'_2, …, v'_k, $v'_{k+1} = v'_1$. For each i, if v'_i is a group element vertex let $v_i = v'_i$. Otherwise, there is a group element vertex v_i within an X-distance L from v'_i. Connect v_i to v_{i+1} via a shortest edge path through group element vertices. This length is no more than $\lambda(2L+1)+C$. Denote this cycle by u. It has length at most $(\lambda(2L+1)+C)(2M+2T+4c(1)+2)$. For each vertex v of u, denote the point where β_v enters the coset vH by v^*. β_v splits as the concatenation $\beta_v = \beta_{v^*}\gamma_v$ with γ_v the path traveled by β_v from v^* to v. For v and w consecutive vertices on u, there is a path connecting v^* and w^* passing through only group element vertices, with length no more than M. The distance from $\beta_{v^*}(t)$ to $\beta_{w^*}(t)$ is no more than $M+T+2c(1)$. Let u^*_t be the path obtained by connecting $\beta_{v^*}(t)$ to $\beta_{w^*}(t)$ by a geodesic path, for each pair of consecutive vertices v and w of u. The distance from $\beta_{v^*}(t)$ to $\beta_{v^*}(t+1)$ is no more than 1, so consider the 'drum' with top u^*_{t+1}, bottom u^*_t, and sides the cycles from $\beta_{v^*}(t)$ to $\beta_{v^*}(t+1)$ to $\beta_{w^*}(t+1)$ to $\beta_{w^*}(t)$. The discussion above shows that each of these sides constitute a single 2-cell, while u^*_t and u^*_{t+1} have lengths no more than $W = (M+T+2c(1))(\lambda(2L+1)+C)(2M+2T+4c(1)+2)$. If d^1 denotes the Dehn function for filling 1-dimensional cycles in Γ, then u^*_t and u^*_{t+1} can each be filled by at most $d^1(W)$ cells. The 'drum' has surface area no more than $W + 2d^1(W)$.

If all vertices of u lie in the same translate of X, say gX, then the portion of the cone above u^* with bottom face bounded by u^*, top face bounded by u, and sides bounded by the cycles v to w to w^* to v^* to v, for v and w consecutive vertices of u, is a 2-boundary in gX, so can be filled using only those 3-cells from the gX structure. Otherwise, not all vertices of u lie in gX. Let w_1, w_2, …, w_j be a maximal string of consecutive vertices of u all lying in gX. There is a vertex v of u not lying in gX. If β_v does not penetrate gH, no β_{w_i} can penetrate gH by more than $c(1)(\lambda(2L+1)+C)$, so $d(w_i, w^*_i) \leq c(1)(\lambda(2L+1)+C)(2M+2T+4c(1)+2)$. Let γ_i be a shortest length path in gX from w_i to w^*_i through only group element vertices. There is a path in gX connecting w_1 to w_j through group element vertices, with length bounded by $\lambda(\lambda(2L+1)+C)(2M+2T+4c(1)+2)+C$. There is a similar path of length no more than $\lambda W + C$ connecting $(w_1)^*$ to $(w_j)^*$. Consider the 2-chain with faces v to w_i to w_{i+1}, v^* to w^*_i to w^*_{i+1}, v to w_i to w^*_i to v^*, v to w_{i+1} to w^*_{i+1} to v^*, and w_i to w^*_i to w^*_{i+1} to w_{i+1}. As above these lengths are all bounded by a positive constant K, independent of u, so this wedge has surface area no more than

$5d^1(K)$. If w and w' are two adjacent vertices in u not in the same coset, either β_w does not penetrate $w'H$ or $\beta_{w'}$ does not penetrate wH, by construction of β. Without loss of generality we assume the latter. β_w can penetrate wH by at most $c(1)$ so $d(w, w^*) \leq c(1)$. Then $d(w', w'^*) \leq d(w', w) + d(w, w^*) + d(w^*, w'^*) \leq 1 + c(1) + M$. The distance from w and w' to v is bounded by the length of u, and the length from w^* and w'^* to v^* is bounded by the length of u^*, so the corresponding wedge between v, v^*, w, w^*, w' and w'^* has surface area also bounded by $5d^1(K')$. Otherwise, β_v does penetrate gH so none of the β_{w_i} can penetrate vH. Thus β_v can penetrate vH by at most $2c(1)\,(\lambda(2L+1)+C)\,(2M+2T+4c(1)+2)$. Then $d(w_i, w_i^*) \leq d(w_i, v) + d(v, v^*) + d(v^*, w_i^*)$ so these wedges also have surface area bounded by $5d^1(K'')$. When all of these wedges are included as 3-cells, we see that the cones constructed are contractible.

We adjust the constants so that K is the maximum of what were above called K, K', and K''. The 3-cells of Γ consist of the 3-cells of X and its translates, as well as a class of mixing 3-cells. The mixing 3-cells are attached to the 2-cycles (combinatorial spheres), the 'drums' of [15], consisting of no more than $1 + d^1((2L+1)J) + Jd^1(4L+2) + 5d^1(K) + W + 2d^1(W)$ cells, whose vertices do not all lie in the same translate of X. Here J is the maximum number of vertices in a 2-cell.

Lemma 4.4. *Γ has Dehn function for filling 2-boundaries bounded by $f(x) = x^2\,(d_H^2(x) + x + 1)$.*

Proof. Let u be a finite 2-subcomplex of Γ without boundary, and let $N = |u|$. Let u_1, u_2, …, u_m denote the connected components of u. If u_i does not pass through any group element vertex, u_i lies entirely within a single translate of X, so u_i can be filled by at most $d_H^2(N)$ 3-cells. Otherwise, u_i passes through at least one group element vertex. After translating u we may assume that this vertex is the identity. Let σ' be a 2-cell of u_i. Denote the vertices of σ' by v_1', v_2', …, v_k'. If v_i' is a group element vertex, let $v_i = v_i'$, Otherwise, let v_i be a group element vertex in the same translate at v_i', at a distance no more than L from v_i'. Let σ be the cycle v_1 to v_2 to … to v_k to v_1 of length no more than $(2L+1)k$, through only group element vertices. The 2-boundary with top σ, bottom σ' and sides the cycles v_i to v_i' to v_{i+1}' to v_{i+1} to v_i. This 2-boundary has area bounded by $1 + d^1((2L+1)k) + kd^1(4L+2)$. If all these vertices are not in the same translate of X, this corresponds to one 3-cell. Otherwise, it can be filled by $\rho = d_H^2(1 + d^1((2L+1)J) + Jd^1(4L+2))$ 3-cells. As u_i is connected, there is $R > 0$ such that the cone from e to σ' can be filled by at most $RN + Rd_H^2(RN)$ 3-cells, as constructed above. u_i can then be filled by $N\left(RN + Rd_H^2(RN) + \rho\right)$ 3-cells. Repeating this for each u_i, we see that u can be filled by $N^2\left(RN + Rd_H^2(RN) + \rho\right)$ 3-cells. $\square$

Suppose that we have constructed the n-cells of Γ, consisting of those n-cells lying in translates of X and mixing n-cells between the translates, with only finitely many orbits of n-cells, as above.

Let Δ be a mixing n-cell. We 'comb' Δ to the basepoint by combing each of the faces and make the appropriate identifications as collections of n-cells. Bounding the area of each of these 'sides', we uniformly bound the area of each layer of the cone in terms of the $(n-1)$-dimensional Dehn functions of Γ and X. The details are as below.

Assume that the vertices of Δ are v_1', v_2', …, v_k', $v_{k+1}' = v_1'$. For each i, if v_i' is a group element vertex let $v_i = v_i'$. Otherwise, there is a group element vertex v_i within an X-distance L from v_i'. Connect v_i to v_j via a shortest edge path through group element vertices whenever v_i' is joined to v_j' by an edge in Δ. The length of such an edge path is no more than $\lambda(2L+1) + C$. Denote the resulting n-boundary by u. If the vertices $(v_{i_1}', v_{i_2}', \ldots, v_{i_j}')$ are a face of Δ, we refer to the corresponding $(n-1)$-complex of u as a face of u. For each vertex v of u, denote the point where β_v enters the coset vH by v^*. β_v splits as the concatenation $\beta_v = \beta_{v^*}\gamma_v$ with γ_v the path traveled by β_v from v^* to v. For v and w adjacent vertices of u, there is a path connecting v^* and w^* passing through only group element vertices, with length no more than M. The distance from $\beta_{v^*}(t)$ to $\beta_{w^*}(t)$ is no more than $M + T + 2c(1)$. By connecting $\beta_{v^*}(t)$ to $\beta_{w^*}(t)$ by a geodesic path, for each pair of adjacent vertices v and w of u, we obtain successive deformations of u, denoted u_t, being coned down to the basepoint of Γ. If $(w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_j)$ are the vertices of a face of u, $(\beta_{w_1^*}(t), \beta_{w_2^*}(t), \ldots, \beta_{w_j^*}(t))$ forms a face of u_t corresponding to the face $(w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_j)$ of u. For each such w, the distance from $\beta_{w^*}(t)$ to $\beta_{w^*}(t+1)$ is no more than 1. If σ_t and σ_{t+1} are corresponding faces of u_t and u_{t+1}, joining $\beta_{w^*}(t)$ to $\beta_{w^*}(t+1)$ for each w we obtain n-subcomplexes. u_t, u_{t+1}, and these n-subcomplexes together results in an n-boundary. From our earlier remarks there is a M_n' such that each of these n-boundaries has no more than M' n-cells. This finishes our estimates for the bottom portion of the 'cone'.

If v_1, v_2, …, v_k are all in the same translate of X, say gX, then u and u^* (the n-complex obtained by joining v^* to w^* for v and w adjacent vertices of u) are both within gX. The n-boundary consisting of u, u^*, and the n-subcomplexes obtained by connecting the corresponding faces of u and u^* within gX, can be filled using only the $(n+1)$-cells from the gX structure. Otherwise, not all vertices lie in gX. Suppose that v is a vertex of u not lying in gX, and let $(w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_j)$ be a face of u. As above there is a constant R_n such that the n-boundary given by the wedge consisting of $(w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_j)$, $(w_1^*, w_2^*, \ldots, w_j^*)$, the n-complexes obtained by connecting their corresponding faces, as well as connecting v to v^* to each of the corresponding faces (as in the 3 dimensional case above) consists of at most R n-cells.

The $(n+1)$-cells of Γ consist of the $(n+1)$-cells in X and its translates as well as the mixing cells, which correspond to connected n-cycles with at most $M_n' + R_n$ n-cells.

Corollary 4.5. Γ *has Dehn function for filling n-boundaries bounded by $f(x) = x^2\,(d_H^n(x) + x + 1)$.*

The proof is nearly identical to the proof of Lemma 4.4, except for the dimension. These proofs also show the following.

Corollary 4.6. Γ *is acyclic with finitely many G-orbits of cells in each dimension.*

This shows that Γ is the universal cover of a classifying space of G with finitely many cells in each dimension. We have thus proven the first part of the following theorem.

Theorem 4.7. *Suppose that G is relatively hyperbolic with respect to a collection of finitely many subgroups, each of which is of type HF^∞ and isocohomological, then G is too. Moreover, if each subgroup is further of property RD, then G satisfies the Novikov conjecture.*

The proof for the second part follows from the work of [11] and [8] as explained in the introduction.

We remark that the isocohomological property also implies the rational injectivity of the assembly map for the topological K-theory of the Lafforgue's algebra $A_{max}(G)$ [29] by the works of Puschnigg [40] and Ji-Ogle [24]. Thus we have

Corollary 4.8. *Suppose that G is relatively hyperbolic with respect to a collection of finitely many subgroups, each of which is of type HF^∞ and isocohomological, then the assembly map for the topological K-theory of the Lafforgue's algebra $A_{max}(G)$ is rationally injective.*

Finally we remark that the class of groups given in the previous theorem is a large class and has a non-trivial intersection with the class of groups that are coarsely embeddable in Hilbert spaces [45]. It is not clear if either class contains the other.

References

[1] P. S. Aleksandrov. *Combinatorial Topology*, Vol. 1, Graylock Press, 1956.

[2] J. Alonso, X. Wang, S. Pride. "Higher Dimensional Isoperimetric (or Dehn) Functions of Groups". J. Group Theory, 2(1), 81 – 112, 1999.

[3] P. Baum, A. Connes, N. Higson. "Classifying Space for Proper Actions and K-Theory of Group C^*-algebras". *Contemp. Math. 167*, 241–291, 1994.

[4] B. Bowditch. "Relatively Hyperbolic Groups". preprint, Southampton, 1998.

[5] N. Brady, T. Riley, H. Short. *The Geometry of the Word Problem for Finitely Generated Groups.* Birkhauser, 2007.

[6] I. Chatterji. "On Property (RD) for Certain Discrete Groups". Ph.D. Thesis, Zurich, 2001.

[7] I. Chatterji, K. Ruane. "Some Geometric Groups with Rapid Decay". GAFA, 15(2), 311 – 339, 2005.

[8] A. Connes, H. Moscovici. "Cyclic cohomology, the Novikov conjecture and Hyperbolic Groups". Topology, 29(3), 345 – 388, 1990.

[9] M. Dadarlat, E. Guentner. "Uniform Embeddability of Relatively Hyperbolic Groups.". J. Reine Angew. Math., 612, 1 – 15, 2007.

[10] F. Dahmani. "Classifying Spaces and Boundaries for Relatively Hyperbolic Groups". Proc. London Math. Soc. 86(3), 666 – 684, 2003.

[11] C. Druţu, M. Sapir. "Relatively Hyperbolic Groups with Rapid Decay Property". Int. Math. Res. Not., 19, 1181 – 1194, 2005.

[12] J. Cannon, D. Epstein, D. Holt, S. Levy, M. Paterson, W. Thurston. *Word Processing in Groups.* Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Boston, MA, 1992.

[13] B. Farb. "Relatively Hyperbolic Groups". GAFA, 8, 810 – 840, 1998.

[14] S. Ferry, A. Ranicki, J. Rosenberg. *Novikov Conjectures, Index Theorems and Rigidity.* London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes, vols. 226 and 227, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.

[15] S. Gersten. "Finiteness Properties of Asynchronously Automatic Groups". *Geometric Group Theory (Columbus, OH, 1992)*, 121 – 133. OSU Math. Res. Inst. Publ., 3, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1995.

[16] S. Gersten, D. Holt, T. Riley. "Isoperimetric Inequalities for Nilpotent Groups". arXiv:math/0201261v1.

[17] E. Ghys, P. de la Harpe. "Infinite Groups as Geometric Objects (after Gromov)". *Ergodic Theory, Symbolic Dynamics, and Hyperbolic Spaces (Trieste, 1989).* Oxford University Press, 1991.

[18] M. Gromov. "Volume and Bounded Cohomology". Publ. Math. IHES, 56, 213 – 307, 1983.

[19] M. Gromov. "Hyperbolic Groups". *Essays in Group Theory.* 75 – 263. Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. 8, Springer, New York, 1987.

[20] P. de la Harpe. "Groupes Hyperboliques, Algèbres d'Opèrateurs et un Théorème de Jolissaint". C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. I Math., 307(14), 771 – 774, 1988.

[21] N. Higson, G. Kasparov. "E-Theory and KK-Theory for Groups which Act Properly and Isometrically on Hilbert Space". Invent. Math., 144, 23–74, 2001.

[22] H. Hogbe-Nlend. *Bornologies and Functional Analysis.* North-Holland Math. Stud. 26, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.

[23] R. Ji. "Smooth Dense Subalgebras of Reduced Group C^*-Algebras, Schwartz Cohomology of Groups, and Cyclic Cohomology". J. Funct. Anal., 107(1), 1 – 33, 1992.

[24] R. Ji, C. Ogle. "Subexponential Group Cohomology and the K-Theory of Lafforgue's Algebra $\mathcal{A}_{max}(\pi)$". K-Theory, 3, 321 – 328 , 2006.

[25] R. Ji, C. Ogle, B. Ramsey. "Relatively Hyperbolic Groups, Rapid Decay Algebras and a Generalization of the Bass Conjecture". arXiv:0707.3658v3.

[26] P. Jolissaint. "Rapidly Decreasing Functions in Reduced C^*-Algebras of Groups". Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 317(1), 167 – 196, 1990.

[27] P. Jolissaint. "K-Theory of Reduced C^*-Algebras and Rapidly Decreasing Functions on Groups". K-Theory, 2, 723 – 735, 1989.

[28] G. Kasparov. "Equivariant KK-Theory and the Novikov Conjecture". Invent. Math., 91, 147 – 201, 1988.

[29] V. Lafforgue. "K-théorie bivariant pour les algébras de Banach et conjecture de Baum-Connes.". Invent. Math., 149 (1), 1-95 (2002).

[30] V. Lafforgue. "A Proof of Property (RD) for Cocompact Lattices of $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{C})$". J. Lie Theory, 10(2), 255 – 267, 2000.

[31] R. Meyer. "Combable Groups have Group Cohomology of Polynomial Growth". Q. J. Math., 57(2), 241 – 261, 2006.

[32] R. Meyer. "Embedding Derived Categories of Bornological Modules". arXiv:math/0410596v1.

[33] R. Meyer. *Local and Analytic Cyclic Homology.* European Mathematical Society, 2007.

[34] I. Mineyev. "Bounded Cohomology Characterizes Hyperbolic Groups". Quart. J. Math., 53(1), 59 – 73, 2002.

[35] I. Mineyev, A. Yamen. "Relative Hyperbolicity and Bounded Cohomology". preprint, UIUC, 2007.

[36] S. P. Novikov. "Pontrjagin Classes, the Fundamental Group and some Problems of Stable Algebras". *Essays on Topology and Related Topics*, Memoires dédiés a G. de Rham. Springer, Berlin, 147 – 155, 1969.

[37] C. Ogle. "Polynomially Bounded Cohomology and Discrete Groups". Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 195, 173 – 209, 2005.

[38] D. Osin. "Asymptotic Dimension of Relatively Hyperbolic Groups". Int. Math. Res. Not., 35, 2143 – 2161, 2005.

[39] D. Osin. Relatively Hyperbolic Groups: Intrinsic Geometry, Algebraic Properties, and Algorithmic Problems. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 179(843), 2006.

[40] M. Puschnigg. The Kadison-Kaplansky conjecture for word-hyperbolic groups. Inv. Math. 149, 153-194 (2002)

[41] J. Ramagge, G. Robertson, T. Steger. "A Haagerup Inequality for $\widetilde{A}_1 \times \widetilde{A}_1$ and $\widetilde{A}_2$ Buildings". GAFA, 8(4), 702 – 731, 1998.

[42] B. Ramsey. "A Generalization of the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre Spectral Sequence for Polynomial Cohomology". Ph.D. Thesis, IUPUI, 2008.

[43] J. Taylor. "Homology and Cohomology for Topological Algebras". Adv. Math. 9, 137 – 182, 1972.

[44] G. Yu. "The Novikov Conjecture for Groups with Finite Asymptotic Dimension". Annals of Mathematics, 147(2), 325 – 355, 1998.

[45] G. Yu. "The Coarse Baum-Connes Conjecture for Spaces which Admit a Uniform Embedding into Hilbert Space". Inventiones Mathematicae, 139(1), 201 – 240, 2000.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Indiana University-Purdue University, Indianapolis, 402 N. Blackford Street, Indianapolis, IN 46202
E-mail address: `ronji@math.iupui.edu`

E-mail address: `bramsey@math.iupui.edu`